

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/823,933		GOLDSCHNEIDER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Prema M Mertz		1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Prema M Mertz. (3) _____.

(2) Evelyn M. Sommer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 32-40 and 45-52.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was indicated to Ms. Sommer that if claim 32 were amended to recite the limitations of claim 33 and if claim 36 were amended to recite the limitations of claim 36, a 35 USC 112, first para scope rejection could be avoided. Also attorney was advised to cancel the non-elected method claims 41-44, 50-52.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required